Case5:09-cv-02407-RMW Document91	Filed04/08/10 Page1 of 4
	<b>E-FILED on</b> <u>4/8/10</u>
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
SAN JOSE DIVISION	
Federal Trade Commission,	No. C-09-2407 RMW
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER DETERMINING AMOUNT OF DISGORGEMENT FOR DEFAULT
Pricewert LLC also d/b/a 3FN.net, Triple Fiber Network, APS Telecom, APX Telecom, APS Communications, and APS Communication,	JUDGMENT
Defendant.	
The count has considered the neutical name	s submitted in connection with ETC's motion for a
	·
order expressed a reluctance to enter a monetary judgment in the amount of \$2.16 million because i	
was based on a thin evidentiary showing by the FTC. The FTC in its supplemental papers continue.	
, ,	.c. The FFC in its supplemental papers continues
	ſ
	stonewalling" the FTC's efforts to determine a
	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DITED SAN JOSE  Federal Trade Commission, Plaintiff, V.  Pricewert LLC also d/b/a 3FN.net, Triple Fiber Network, APS Telecom, APX Telecom, APS Communications, and APS Communication, Defendant.  The court has considered the parties' paper default judgment, other records on file, and the arguefault judgment. Plaintiff claims that Pricewert is gains and that those gains should be disgorged and order expressed a reluctance to enter a monetary justice was based on a thin evidentiary showing by the F1 to seek \$2.16 million.

1

more definite amount of Pricewert's ill-gotten gains. Pricewert has knowingly refused to participate

in this litigation and it should not benefit nor be in a position to complain about the weakness of the FTC's calculation when it has failed to supply the records it was ordered to provide.

Earlier in the case, the FTC submitted declarations by various experts to support its motion for a temporary restraining order ("TRO"). These experts had analyzed data derived from internet searches which establish that defendant, an internet service provider, was engaged in widespread illegal activity. The FTC has not, however, submitted evidence or any analysis by their experts, or any other witness, that shows the relative percentage of illegal versus legal activities of Pricewert. There seems to be little doubt from the information provided that Pricewert functioned primarily as an internet service provider for illegal activity. Nevertheless, there was a relatively small number of apparently legitimate customers who used Pricewert as their service provider. This came to the court's attention when some of those customers contacted the court after the Pricewert servers were shut down. Therefore, it seems clear that a portion of the monthly profits of \$30,000 reportedly realized by Pricewert were realized from legitimate business activity.

II.

The number of IP addresses controlled by defendant that are affiliated with illegal activity appears to far outnumber the IP addresses of legitimate customers using Pricewert's services. An estimate that over half of the users were connected with illegal activity seems extremely conservative. However, to avoid over-estimating the amount of Pricewert's ill-gotten gains, the court will estimate that only 50% of Pricewert's profits were ill-gotten gains.

III.

Pricewert never appeared in this case nor, to the court's knowledge, ever contacted the FTC to discuss the FTC's allegations. But, Max Christopher, purportedly an authorized representative of Pricewert, did initially submit some papers to the court. In those papers, he represented that Pricewert's "average monthly profit from all web hosting services was not higher then (*sic*) \$30,000." Def. Pet. dtd. June 15, 2010, attached as Exh. B to Plaintiff's Motion. He also said "we have been managing our business for more than 6 years." Def. Pet. dtd. June 10, 2010, attached as Exh. A to Plaintiff's motion. No other revenue figures have been provided despite orders to do so. In light of Christopher's figure of monthly profit of "not higher then (*sic*) \$30,000," a conservative

## estimate of Pricewert's average monthly ill-gotten gains over the last six years is \$15,000. Therefore, a conservative estimate of Pricewert's ill-gotten gains for the last six years is no less than \$1,080,000. The court will include disgorgement of \$1,080,000 in the default judgment in favor of the FTC and against Pricewert. Ronald m. whyte DATED: 4/8/10 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

Case5:09-cv-02407-RMW Document91 Filed04/08/10 Page3 of 4

## Case5:09-cv-02407-RMW Document91 Filed04/08/10 Page4 of 4

1	Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:		
2	Counsel for Plaintiff:		
3	Ethan Arenson Carl Settlemeyer	earenson@ftc.gov csettlemyer@ftc.gov	
4			
5	Counsel for Defendants: (no appearance)		
6			
7	Counsel for Elevated Amici Suren	Tar-Saakov and Tsuren LLC Seeking to Intervene:	
8	Karl Stephen Kronenberger Jeffrey Michael Rosenfeld	karl@KBInternetlaw.com Jeff@KBInternetlaw.com	
9			
10	Counsel for Elevated Amicus New E. James Perullo	Business Concepts, S.L. Seeking to Intervene: E.James.Perullo@BayStateLegal.com	
11	E. James Peruno	L.James.Feruno@BayStateLegal.com	
12			
13	Counsel for Receiver Robb Evans & Associates		
	Gary Owen Caris	gcaris@mckennalong.com	
14	<b>Lesley Anne Hawes</b>	lhawes@mckennalong.com	
15			
16			
17	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.		
18			
19			
20			
21	<b>Dated:</b> 4/8/10	TER	
22	Dateu. 4/6/10	Chambers of Judge Whyte	
23		Ç V	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			